1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
3	
4	MISSOURI PRIMATE FOUNDATION, et al.,
5	Plaintiff,
6	
7	vs. No. 4:16-cv-02163-CDP
8	
9	PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL TREATMENT
10	OF ANIMALS, INC., et al.,
11	Defendant.
12	
13	
14	
15	Deposition of LISA HARNED, taken on behalf of
16	the Defendant, at the offices of Polsinelli, 100
17	S. Fourth Street, Suite 1000, in the City of
18	St. Louis, State of Missouri, on the 16th day of
19	October, 2018, before Kristine A. Toennies, RMR,
20	CRR, CRC, CCR (MO), CSR (IL & IA), and Notary
21	Public.
22	
23	
24	Job No. 3009296
25	Pages 1 - 244

EXHIBIT

A A friend. A I have an e-mail address, but I don't use it 1 2 O You don't know her last name? 2 because I don't know how to use it is what I'm A No. I know it starts with an H, I think. 3 trying to say. I know I have one but I don't. 3 O And who was the other friend or the other O Well, who did you speak with about your 5 person you identified? 5 deposition today? A Who did I speak with? Well, my mom and the A Penny. 6 7 lawyer, my mom's lawyer, that I had a deposition, 7 Q Penny? 8 A Uh-huh. 8 and my husband. Q What's the name of your husband? 9 9 O Do you know her last name? 10 10 A Kevin Harned. A No. And Byron. Q And you spoke to Connie Casey, your mother? Q Do you know why they were there since they 11 11 12 were not subpoenaed? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And what did you discuss? 13 A Because we're all friends, and you know. 14 Q Why did they come to the lawyer's office? A That I had a deposition. 14 MR. ESSEN: I just object to foundation, Q And you spoke with Ms. Casey's attorneys? 15 15 16 speculation, but subject to that, go ahead. 16 A Yeah. 17 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Why did they come to the 17 Q Was that Mr. Essen? 18 lawyer's office with you? 18 A Yes. A To figure out exactly what's going on here Q And Ms. Champion? 19 19 20 because ... 20 A Yes. Q How are they involved in this case? Q And when did you speak with them? 21 21 A Because they're friends and they've been 22 22 A I spoke with them a little bit yesterday. 23 helping. 23 O Both of them? 24 Q Helping with what? 24 A Yes. 25 25 A With -- at my mom's and stuff. Q Was that in person or by phone? Page 8 Page 6 1 Is Byron related to Penny or Tonia or you? 1 A Person. A Penny and Byron are husband and wife. 2 2 O Did you meet them at their office? O Are you presently employed? 3 3 4 Q Did they show you any documents? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Where are you employed? 5 A No. A At Mercy Hospital Jefferson. 6 6 Q For how long did you meet? O For how long have you been employed there? A I don't remember. A couple hours or so. 7 8 A Let's see, probably 12, 13 years. O And during those hours did they ask you any 9 Q And what is your current position? 9 questions? 10 A They call me a -- what do they call me? 10 A No. 11 Basically I answer the phone when the patients call O Did you ask them questions? 11 12 to order the food. 12 A I did. Q And for how long have you had that 13 13 Q And what were you asking them? A Just what to expect because I've never been 14 responsibility? A Those years, probably ten of those years 15 15 depositioned, so I was very nervous and not knowing 16 because I used to cook food before that. 16 what to expect. Q What are your hours? 17 Q And for those two hours that you met with 17 A I work from, let's see, 5:30 to 2. 18 18 them, what else did you discuss? A Just what, you know, you might ask as far as 19 O 5:30 a.m.? 20 the stuff here because I, you know. 20 Α Yes. 21 Q Until 2 p.m.? Q And who else was at the meeting? 21 22 A Yes. A My mom and Tonia and Penny and Byron. 22 23 Q Monday through Friday? 23 Q Tonia? 24 A I usually work Tuesdays, Thursdays and every 24 A I don't know her last name. I don't --25 other weekend. Q Who is Tonia? Page 9

O So you work part time? A Yes. 2 3 Q Can you briefly describe your educational 4 background. A Let's see here, I'm trying to remember what grade. I didn't graduate from school, but I can't remember what grade I quit. Probably tenth, tenth or eleventh grade maybe. It's been too long ago. (Harned Deposition Exhibit Number 10 1 marked for identification.) Q Let me show you what will be marked as 12 Harned Exhibit 1. That is an application for 13 license for the U.S. Department of Agriculture, an 14 application for an exhibitor's license. Have you 15 ever seen this before? 16 A No. 17 Q Under the heading -- it looks like nine, it 18 says, List owners, partners and officers, and under 19 the name and title, it says Connie Braun Casey and 20 Lisa Harned. Do you see that? 21 A I do. 22 Q What is -- are you an owner, partner and 23 officer of the licensee that applied for this USDA 24 license? 25 A I know that a long time ago this here you Page 10 1 could put two people on there, and my name was on 2 there just in case something happened to Mom that I 3 would be able to kind of take over. But since then 4 they don't let -- you can only have one person on 5 there. Q But at the time were you an owner, partner 7 and officer when you were identified as such? MR. ESSEN: I just object to foundation, 9 speculation, but subject to that, go ahead, if you 10 know. 11 A I don't know. 12 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) So you don't know why you 13 are identified as an owner, partner and officer? 14 MR. ESSEN: I just object as asked and 15 answered. Subject to that, go ahead. 16 A I... 17 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Are you familiar with an 18 entity called the Missouri Primate Foundation? 19 A Yes.

Q For purposes of this deposition, I shall

21 refer to Missouri Primate Foundation as MPF. Do you

20

23

24

25

22 understand that? A Uh-huh.

Q Yes?

A Yes.

Q You need to have a verbal response for the 2 court reporter. What is MPF? 3 A Missouri Primate Foundation. 4 Q Right. What is it? A Oh, what is it? Q Your answer was correct, but perhaps you 7 misunderstood. A It's a facility that has chimps, takes care 9 of them. 10 Q At some point did you become the director --11 did you become a director of MPF? 12 A I guess on paperwork, yeah. 13 Q What do you mean on paperwork? What does 14 that mean, you became a director on paperwork? 15 A That means I guess my name is written down, 16 but ... 17 Q But what? 18 A But that's all I know is my name was written 19 down as that, but we, you know. 20 Q But we what? 21 A I don't know. 22 Q During what period of time were you a 23 director of MPF? 24 A I don't know. 25 Q Corporate records identify you during some 1 period of time as the secretary of MPF. Does that 2 refresh your recollection that you were the 3 corporate secretary of MPF? 4 MR. ESSEN: I'm just going to object that it 5 assumes facts not in evidence. I'm also going to 6 object that you're improperly attempting to refresh 7 her recollection by referring to a document but not 8 actually showing it to her. Subject to that, go 9 ahead. 10 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) You can answer. A I know -- like I said, I know my name was on 11 12 there, but you know, we never had any meetings or 13 anything like that, so I ... 14 Q You don't know that you were the corporate 15 secretary of MPF? 16 A I guess I did. 17 Q What were your responsibilities as the 18 corporate secretary? 19 A We never had any meetings or anything, so I 20 really don't, don't know. 21 Q Did you not keep the official corporate 22 records? 23 A No. 24 Q Did you not oversee the day-to-day

Page 13

25 operations of MPF?

1 A No

2 Q At some point do you recall you also became

3 a member of the board of MPF?

4 MR. ESSEN: I just object to foundation, but

5 subject to that, go ahead.

A No.

Q (By Ms. Bernstein) You don't know that you

8 were a board member -- that you were on the board of

9 MPF?

10 A There was really no, no board. I mean, I

11 don't know. As I said, we never had any meetings or

12 anything, so I don't really know exactly how to

13 answer that question because there was no ...

14 Q Well, was the corporate entity known as MPF,

15 was that just a pure sham?

16 MR. ESSEN: Objection. It's argumentative.

17 It's also calling for a legal conclusion. It's a

18 completely improper question.

19 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Was it a sham?

20 MR. ESSEN: Same objection.

21 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) You must answer.

MR. ESSEN: Well, she can choose not to

23 answer, I guess, but there might be consequences for

24 that.

25 A No, I don't -- no.

Page 14

23

- 1 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) What did you do to
- 2 discharge your responsibility to the corporate
- 3 entity MPF as a director and board member of MPF?

4 MR. ESSEN: Objection. Foundation;

5 speculation; assumes facts not in evidence. Subject 6 to that, go ahead.

o to mat, go anead.

A What was the question?

B Q (By Ms. Bernstein) What did you do to

9 discharge your responsibilities to the corporate

10 entity MPF?

11 MR. ESSEN: Same objection.

12 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Can you identify anything

13 you did as part of your responsibilities as an

14 officer and director of MPF?

15 MR. ESSEN: Objection. Foundation;

16 speculation. Assumes facts not in evidence that she

17 actually had any responsibility. Subject to all of

18 that, go ahead.

19 A I don't know.

20 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) You can't think of a

21 single thing you did as a director and member of the

22 board of MPF to discharge your responsibilities to

23 MPF; is that right?

MR. ESSEN: Objection. Foundation;

25 speculation. Calls for a legal conclusion about

1 discharging responsibilities and also assumes facts

2 not in evidence. Subject to that, go ahead.

3 A I don't know.

4 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) I'm sorry?

5 A I said I don't know.

6 Q Did you do anything to keep yourself

7 informed about MPF's operations over the last three

8 years?

9 A To keep myself informed, I'm there every

10 day, but as, you know, I mean, I do what I have to

11 do there, but ...

12 Q You say you're there every day. Every

13 single day?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Every single day?

MR. ESSEN: I think we need to clarify what

17 "there" is. I think Ms. Bernstein is asking you

18 something very specific about your role with respect

19 to a corporation.

20 MS. BERNSTEIN: I'm sorry, if you want -- I

21 don't think you get to instruct the witness. You

22 don't represent her. You can state an objection.

MR. ESSEN: Well, I'm just trying to

24 actually make this go more smoothly.

25 MS. BERNSTEIN: I'm sorry, I do not want you

Page 16

1 to give this witness any instructions.

MR. ESSEN: I'm attempting to --

3 MS. BERNSTEIN: Just state your objection

4 and wait until it's your turn.

5 MR. ESSEN: Here's my objection. I think

6 your question is vague and ambiguous --

7 MS. BERNSTEIN: Okay, fine.

8 MR. ESSEN: -- as to whether or not you're

9 asking her about responsibilities with respect to a

10 corporate entity or whether or not you're asking her

11 about what she does on a day-to-day basis with

12 respect to her mom's place.

13 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) The question, you said

14 you were there every single day; right?

15 A Well, I guess I wasn't there every single

16 day because if I go on a vacation or something,

17 so ...

18 Q You're not at MPF every single day?

19 A Almost every day.

20 MR. ESSEN: I'm just going to continue to

21 object to this being vague because you haven't

22 clarified what you mean by MPF -- well, nobody has

23 clarified what they mean by "there." All of this is

24 total nonsense at this point.

25 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Does the corporate entity

- 1 MPF currently carry on any activities?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q It does not?
- A If you're talking about the -- as far as the
- 5 board or whatever, I'm not exactly understanding
- 6 that either.
- 7 O Does MPF conduct fundraising?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Does MPF, the corporate entity, accept
- 10 donations?
- 11 A Missouri Primate Foundation doesn't really
- 12 exist anymore. That's been abolished, I guess, or
- 13 whatever the word is.
- 14 Q When did MPF, the corporate entity, stop
- 15 existing?
- 16 A I'm not sure.
- 17 Q Why did the corporate entity MPF stop
- 18 existing?
- 19 A I guess because it was not re -- I don't
- 20 know the word to use. Re -- when you have to -- I
- 21 don't know.
- 22 Q Who is Connie Casey?
- 23 A My mother.
- 24 Q What is her present role with respect to
- 25 MPF?

Page 18

- A If it's abolished, I guess nothing. If
- 2 you're talking about the -- just the name, you know
- 3 what I mean? Because like I said, the name does not
- 4 exist anymore.
- 5 Q But the facility still exists?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And what are the facilities called?
- 8 A Good question because that's a private
- 9 place. You know what I mean? It's not ...
- 10 Q In or around November 2, 2016, did Ms. Casey
- 11 provide you with a notice of intent to file citizen
- 12 suit against MPF?
- 13 A Say that again.
- 14 Q In or around November 2, 2016, did Ms. Casey
- 15 provide you with a copy of a notice of intent to sue
- 16 MPF?
- 17 A Me, no. Why would I get a copy?
- 18 Q Because you were on the board and you were a
- 19 director of MPF. So Ms. Casey never gave you a copy
- 20 of that letter?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q To this day you haven't seen a copy of that
- 23 letter?
- 24 MR. ESSEN: Again, it sort of assumes facts
- 25 not in evidence, but she's already testified.

- I Q (By Ms. Bernstein) To this day you haven't
- 2 seen a copy of this letter?
- A I guess I have. I don't -- I'm not sure
- 4 because I don't know what copy you're even talking
- 5 about. I'm not sure.
- 6 Q The notice of intent to sue MPF --
- 7 MR. ESSEN: Might it be helpful to show her
- 8 a copy of this document to ask her if she's ever
- 9 seen it before?
- 10 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) When I talk about the
- 11 notice of intent to sue MPF, you don't know what I'm
- 12 talking about?
- 13 A No, because I don't know what paper you're
- 14 talking about.
- 15 Q You, sitting here today, you're telling me
- 16 you're not aware that in November of 2016 a letter
- 17 was sent to Ms. Casey alerting her that PETA and
- 18 Ms. Scott intended to sue MPF and others? That's
- 19 news to you?
- 20 A No.
- 21 MR. ESSEN: Hold on. Your tone is really
- 22 argumentative for no particular reason. You know,
- 23 obviously this woman has very limited knowledge
- 24 about the things you're wanting to ask her about,
- 25 and you're being rude to her about it.

Page 20

- 1 But again, there's no foundation. Calls for
- 2 speculation. It's already been asked and answered.
- 3 Subject to all of that.
- 4 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) So it's news to you?
- 5 A No, I knew she was getting sued, but I
- 6 didn't say that -- I mean, I don't know that I seen 7 the letter.
- 8 Q Right.
- 9 A Okay?
- 10 Q That's what I wanted to know.
- 11 A All right. Well ...
- 12 Q Did you at the time when the letter was sent
- 13 expressing an intent of PETA and Ms. Scott to sue
- 14 MPF and others, did you have discussions about it
- 15 with Ms. Casey?
- 16 A Well, of course. She's my mother.
- 17 Q And what did you discuss with her?
- 18 A How stupid it was. There was no foundation
- 19 of the stuff.
- 20 Q What was your understanding as to why there
- 21 was no foundation for what was alleged in the
- 22 letter?
- 23 A Say that again.
- 24 Q Why did you think there was no foundation of
- 25 what the letter said?

Page 21

MR. ESSEN: I'm just going to object because 2 I think it's asking her to speculate about what's 3 contained in the letter. It's vague and ambiguous. 4 Subject to all of that, go ahead. Q (By Ms. Bernstein) You said you discussed 6 how stupid it was. Why did you think it was stupid? A Because --MR. ESSEN: Same objection. I also think 9 it's getting to be argumentative again. You've got 10 a minimal duty to kind of be somewhat polite to a 11 witness. 12 O (By Ms. Bernstein) Well, you said you 13 discussed that it was stupid, and I'm just asking 14 what was stupid about it? 15 MR. ESSEN: Same objection. 16 A Because she's got a good facility. Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Do you recall at some 17 18 point in December a letter was sent to you proposing 19 a retirement of the chimpanzees to an accredited 20 sanctuary? 21 MR. ESSEN: Objection. Foundation; 22 speculation; assumes facts not in evidence. Subject 23 to all of that and if there is a letter, it might be 24 helpful to actually show it to her. MS. BERNSTEIN: You know, you don't need to 25 25 Page 22 1 make a speech every single time. You can just state 2 your objection and be done with it. MR. ESSEN: Well, I mean, I need to actually 4 say enough about the objection to preserve it and 5 also to give you an opportunity to cure the defects 6 in all of your questions. MS. BERNSTEIN: If I will want an 8 explanation, I will ask for it. 9 MR. ESSEN: I still need to make a record. (Harned Deposition Exhibit Number 10 2 marked for identification.) 11 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) I'm handing you what will 12 13 be marked as Harned Exhibit 2, a letter dated 14 December 13, 2016. 15 (Discussion off the record.) Q (By Ms. Bernstein) It is a December 13, 17 2016, letter from Jared Goodman to Connie Braun 18 Casey and Andrew Sawyer with a copy to you as 19 secretary and board member. Do you see that? 20 A Yes. 21 Q Did you receive this letter? 22 MR. ESSEN: If you know. 23 A I don't remember.

Q (By Ms. Bernstein) It copied the other board

25 members, Dr. Pernikoff and Debbie Marshall. Do you

1 see that? 2 A Yes. Q Did you discuss this letter with the other 3 4 board members? 5 A There was no board. O All right. So the board did nothing with 6 7 respect to this letter? A Did what? 9 O That the board did nothing with respect to 10 this letter? MR. ESSEN: Objection. Assumes facts not in 11 12 evidence. She just said there wasn't a board. Go A I still don't understand the total question. 14 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) So there were no 15 16 discussions with Dr. Pernikoff or Debbie Marshall 17 with respect to this letter? A No. 18 O And who made the decision whether or not to 19 20 accept the proposal that was stated in the December 21 13th letter? MR. ESSEN: Objection. Foundation; 22 23 speculation. 24 A I'm not understanding the question. O (By Ms. Bernstein) The letter that will be Page 24 1 marked as Exhibit 2 made a proposal for the 2 chimpanzees to be retired to an accredited 3 sanctuary, and the question is who made the decision 4 whether or not to accept that proposal? MR. ESSEN: Objection. Foundation; 6 speculation. It calls for a legal conclusion and also potentially mischaracterizing the letter, so I 8 think it's kind of a pointless question. The 9 witness already said she doesn't even know if she 10 received it. O (By Ms. Bernstein) If you don't know, you 11 12 can just say so. 13 A Okay, I don't know. Q Okay. Is it fair to say that you do not 15 know any actions that the board ever took with 16 respect to the business of MPF before MPF stopped 17 existing? 18 A Say that again. Q Is it fair to say that you do not know of 19 20 any actions that the MPF board took at any time? 21 O Is it fair to say that you never took any 22 23 actions in your capacity as a director or board 24 member? 25 MR. ESSEN: Objection. Foundation; Page 25

- 1 speculation. Calls for a legal conclusion. Subject
- 2 to that, go ahead.
- 3 A I don't know. I'm not ...
- 4 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Who made the decisions
- 5 about what MPF would do or not do?
- 6 A My mom as far as I know.
- 7 Q Would you say -- I'm sorry. Would you say
- 8 she was the sole decisionmaker with respect to what
- 9 MPF did at any given time?
- 10 MR. ESSEN: Objection. Foundation;
- 11 speculation. Subject to that, go ahead.
- 12 A I mean, I guess.
- 13 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) At some point did you
- 14 become aware that some of the chimpanzees were
- 15 transferred from the MPF facility to another
- 16 facility?
- 17 A Was I aware that they were?
- 18 Q Yes.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And what was the other facility?
- 21 A DeYoung Zoo.
- 22 Q And when were the chimpanzees transferred to
- 23 the DeYoung Zoo?
- 24 A I don't remember.
- 25 Q Do you know why they were transferred to the
 - Page 26

- 1 DeYoung Zoo?
- 2 A Because Mom had made a decision back when
- 3 that she would transfer some of them to -- but it
- 4 was a long time ago that she had made that decision.
- 5 Q Ms. Casey made that decision?
- 6 A Uh-huh.
- 7 Q Without any input from the board?
- 8 A What board?
- 9 Q The MPF board. Ms. Casey made the decision
- 10 to transfer the chimpanzees without any input from
- 11 the MPF board; is that correct?
- 12 MR. ESSEN: Again, objection. Foundation;
- 13 speculation. Subject to that, go ahead.
- 14 A Yeah. I mean ...
- 15 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) And why were the
- 16 chimpanzees transferred to the DeYoung Zoo?
- 17 MR. ESSEN: Objection. Foundation. Go
- 18 ahead if you know.
- 19 A Why were they transferred? Let's see,
- 20 because Mom had made the decision way back that she
- 21 was going to let them take some of the chimps.
- 22 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Right, she made the
- 23 decision, but the question is why?
- 24 MR. ESSEN: Again, objection. Foundation.
- 25 A I'm not exactly sure what -- I know that she

- 1 was wanting to -- how do I say it? Make a new --
- 2 I'm trying to figure out how to say this. To not
- 3 have as many there to make it a little easier for
- 4 her to take care of what she has.
- 5 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) And why did she want to
- 6 make it a little easier for her to take care of the
- 7 chimpanzees that she had?
- 8 MR. ESSEN: Objection. Foundation.
- 9 A I guess because she's getting a little
- 10 older, just making it a little easier to, like I
- 11 said ...
- 12 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Did Ms. Casey consult you
- 13 as to whether to send the chimpanzees to the DeYoung
- 14 Zoo or to an accredited sanctuary?
- 15 A Those are all her decisions.
- 16 Q So you were not consulted?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Are you aware that on December 30, 2016, the
- 19 corporate entity MPF and others filed a lawsuit
- 20 against PETA and Angela Scott?
- 21 A Well, I knew they -- I don't know who filed
- 22 a lawsuit except my -- you know, my mom.
- 23 Q You don't know that MPF, the corporate
- 24 entity, filed a lawsuit against PETA and Angela
- 25 Scott?

Page 28

- 1 A I know my mom did. I don't know if MPF was.
- 2 Q Did anyone seek your consent for filing this
- 3 lawsuit against PETA and Angela Scott --
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q -- in December of 2016?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Do you know who Kurtis Reeg is?
- 8 A Kurtis Reeg, I know the name. Is it the
- 9 lawyer? I don't know.
- 10 Q Did Mr. Reeg represent MPF in a lawsuit?
- 11 A I know -- let's see, if that's the lawyer,
- 12 then it would have been representing Mom. I don't
- 13 know about MPF.
- 14 Q You had no knowledge about what MPF did with
- 15 respect to any lawsuit; is that right?
- 16 A I know my mom did. I don't know about MPF.
- 17 Q Right, right. With respect to the entity
- 18 MPF of which you were an officer and director, you
- 19 did not know whether it filed suit?
- 20 A I know my mom did.
- 21 Q Right, we have that, but with respect to
- 22 MPF, you have no knowledge as to whether it sued?
- 23 A If it don't exist, how could it file?
- 24 Q It did exist at some point, didn't it?
- 25 Right? You're aware that MPF existed at some point;

Page 29